Subject: Fwd: Confidentiality concerns
From: Dasha Poliakova <[redacted]>
Date: 19.07.2026, 02:07
To: Karim Adiprasito <[redacted]>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Dasha Poliakova** <[redacted]>
Date: Mon, 6 July 2026, 7:59 pm
Subject: Confidentiality concerns
To: <rector@savion.huji.ac.il>, <anatt@savion.huji.ac.il>, <sasson.talia@gmail.com>, <Assaf.Berenson@goldfarb.com>

Dear Adv. Sasson,

Prof. Karim Adiprasito has sent me a decision yesterday which acknowledges the receipt of my previous motion in the following terms: "And indeed, as if a magic want were waved, two motions were filed" and later characterizes the applications as lacking factual basis. I respectfully object to this characterization. (I must admit that I am not particularly impressed by the “magic wand” remark in relation to a confidentiality concern.)

I received information concerning the handling of my testimony and communications from Prof. Adiprasito, precisely because I had not received an answer to my April request with Vasiliki Petrotou concerning confidentiality and modification, nor to my direct email from two weeks ago. I received the Tribunal’s decision only today. And as I understand, it leaves out another issue:

The decision dated 26.6.2026, which discusses my application and identifies me, was sent to private non-parties before I received it. This validates rather than undermines my confidentiality concerns. I therefore ask whether the same private non-parties, or others, were also shown, sent, quoted, summarized, or otherwise given access to my testimony, my previous letter, or any material relating to me.

Please provide me with a full list of persons to whom my testimony, my letter, and the Tribunal’s characterization of my application have been shared.

I submitted my testimony as confidential and asked that it not be altered. If a different format, use, or distribution was contemplated, I believe I had a right to be informed and given an opportunity to reconsider or object.

Please do not misunderstand me. I view J's passing as deeply tragic. But that tragedy does not justify exposing me, my testimony, my communications, or my confidentiality concerns to private non-parties. This has made me feel pressured for contributing factual testimony and for having raised a confidentiality concern that the subsequent distribution confirms was legitimate.

Regards,

Daria Poliakova