**Further issues.** The following issues are tangential; however, the University introduced them to the dispute so I mention them here very briefly, and will make the materials available upon request.

**1.** At the beginning of the dispute, University officials referred to my ERC grant as “handsome dowry” in connection to my move, underlining the urgency of the matter.

**1.1** When moving away, I had the impression that the University was doing everything in its power to delay the move of the ERC grant. Requests for the reason of the delay were unanswered, or seemed to receive changing or evasive answers. Then-Rector Tamir Sheafer conveyed to me the impression that the ERC grant would only be moved if the University was forced to. Only when I filed a complaint was the grant moved.

**2.** The university holds a Special Research Fund (KKM) that accumulates per year it was not used and specifically used for Research. Since I had outside research funding, this accumulated over the years.

**2.1.** I repeatedly requested the University transfer this grant as research dedicated grant to my institution in line with its own regulations, with a last request made on 20.07.2026.

**2.2.** On 21.07.2026, the University claimed that transfer to my institution is not possible (as it is a foreign institution).

**2.3.** On 24.07.2026, I pointed out in an email to University counsel that this contradicts their own regulations. Specifically, I pointed out:

“I have reviewed the collective agreement of 9 April 2024, including §§16–20 concerning KAKAM. Those provisions regulate redemption and inheritance of KAKAM balances, but I do not see any provision restricting institutional transfers to institutions in Israel.

HUJI's published KAKAM Regulation §6(b) provides that a faculty member who leaves the University and moves to “another institution of higher education” may transfer the remaining allocation to that institution, without any territorial qualification. The University's August 2025 KAKAM guide, prepared in coordination with the University accounting department, likewise states that upon moving to “another academic institution” the balance may be transferred subject to the receiving institution's consent.”

I requested an explanation of their legal basis. My home institution agreed to the transfer. The KKM transfer was intended to fund junior research personnel.

**2.4.** I question the authority of the University to withhold research funds without legal basis. I further question the use of those funds for non-research purposes and the authority permitting it.

**3.** Counsel reported to me that Pepi Yakirevitch, during a 06.02. hearing, stated to them that I would have fewer problems if I did not publicise my (unrelated) political opinion on social media.

**4.** This is compounded with further issues and obstructions; hearings and dispute were held in an obstructive way by the University and under unequal access with respect to, for instance, language, with large parts of the conversations remaining untranslated. I felt that any account of mine was interrupted with ad hominem attacks and interruptions for translations, while monologues of the University went, perhaps unsurprisingly, uninterrupted by its panel. Most of the material was left untranslated, and not corrected when I used LLM to translate and point out mistakes and alterations.

I cannot establish how these issues affected the subsequent narrative, but their mixing into this dispute was inappropriate. I don’t make any claim on intent; I merely include them here because I found the involvement of these issues inappropriate.